


Routing and Addressing in 2018

Geoff Huston
Chief Scientist, APNIC



Through the Routing Lens ...

There are very few ways to assemble a single view of the entire Internet


The lens of routing is one of the ways in which information relating to the entire reachable Internet is brought together

Even so, its not a perfect lens, but it can provide some useful insights about the entire scope of the Internet




25 Years of Routing the Internet

BGP IPv4 RIB Size - Route Views Peers




2016-2018 in detail

BGP IPv4 RIB Size - RIS and Route Views Peers




2016-2018 in detail

BGP IPv4 RIB Size - RIS and Route Views Peers




Routing Indicators for IPv4




Routing prefixes - growing by some 52,000 prefixes per year



AS Numbers - growing by some 3,400 prefixes per year




Routing Indicators for IPv4




More Specifics are still taking up slightly more than one half of the routing table



But the average size of a routing advertisement continues to shrink




Routing Indicators for IPv4



Address Exhaustion is now visible in the extent of advertised address space



The "shape" of inter-AS interconnection appears to be relatively steady




AS Adjacencies (AS131072)

51,613 out of 63,080 ASNs have 1 or 2 AS Adjacencies (82%)

1,803 ASNs have 10 or more adjacencies

9 ASNs have >1,000 adjacencies

4,144	AS6939	HURRICANE - Hurricane Electric, Inc., US
4,032	AS3356	LEVEL3 - Level 3 Communications, Inc., US
3,702	AS174	COGENT-174 - Cogent Communications, US
1,724	AS6461	ZAYO Bandwidth, US
1,646	AS7018	ATT-INTERNET4 - AT&T Services, Inc., US
1,618	AS3549	LVLTL - Level 3 Parent, US
1,428	AS3257	GTT-Backbone, DE
1,377	AS2914	NTT America, US
1,208	AS209	CENTURYLINK, US
957	AS701	Verizon Business, US



Most networks are stub AS's


A small number of major providers




What happened in 2018 in V4?

Routing Business as usual – despite IPv4 address exhaustion!

- From the look of the growth plots, its business as usual, despite the increasing pressures on IPv4 address availability
- The number of entries in the IPv4 default-free zone reached 750,000 by the end of 2018
- The pace of growth of the routing table is still relatively constant at ~52,000 new entries and 3,400 new AS's per year
 - IPv4 address exhaustion is not changing this!
 - Instead, we appear to be advertising shorter prefixes into the routing system



What about IPv4 Address Exhaustion?



RIR Address Pool runout projections as of the start of 2019:

- ARIN – no free pool left
- AFRINIC – October 2019
- LACNIC – November 2019
- APNIC – September 2020
- RIPE NCC – January 2021





Post-Exhaustion Routing Growth

- What's driving this post-exhaustion growth?
 - Transfers?
 - Last /8 policies in RIPE and APNIC?
 - Leasing and address recovery?




Advertised Address "Age"



2010





Advertised Address "Age"




2000 - 2018: IPv4 Advertised vs Unadvertised




2000 - 2018: Unadvertised Addresses



2017: Assigned vs Recovered




2018: Assigned vs Recovered





V4 in 2018

- The equivalent of 1.4 /8s were **removed** from the routing table across 2018
- Approximately 0.86 /8s were assigned by RIRs in 2015
 - 0.37 /8's assigned by Afrinic
 - 0.28 /8s assigned by the RIPE NCC (last /8 allocations)
 - 0.10 /8s were assigned by APNIC (last /8 allocations)
- And a net of 2.1 /8's were added to the pool of unadvertised addresses



In 2018 we saw legacy blocks transferring away from ISPs / end user sites and heading towards cloud SPs.




The Route-Views View of IPv6



2017-2018 in Detail




Routing Indicators for IPv6




Routing prefixes - growing by some 15,000 prefixes per year



AS Numbers - growing by some 2,000 ASNs per year (which is 60% the V4 growth)




Routing Indicators for IPv6




More Specifics now take up more than one third of the routing table



The average size of a routing advertisement is getting smaller




Routing Indicators for IPv6



Advertised Address span is growing at an exponential rate



The "shape" of inter-AS interconnection in IPv6 is rising slightly. Local connections appear to be replacing overlay trunk transits





AS Adjacencies (AS131072)

13,095 out of 16,465 ASNs have 1 or 2 AS Adjacencies (79%)

573 ASNs have 10 or more adjacencies

2 ASNs have >1,000 adjacencies

4,295	AS6939	HURRICANE - Hurricane Electric, Inc., US
1,049	AS3356	LEVEL3 - Level 3 Communications, Inc., US
749	AS174	COGENT-174 - Cogent Communications, US
719	AS2915	NTT America, US
632	AS1299	Telia Carrier, SE




V6 in 2018

- Overall IPv6 Internet growth in terms of BGP is still increasing, and is currently at some **15,000 route entries p.a.**




What to expect




BGP Size Projections

How quickly is the routing space growing?


What are the projections of future BGP FIB size?



V4 - Daily Growth Rates





Growth in the V4 network appears to be constant at a long term average of 140 additional routes per day, or some 52,000 additional routes per year





V4 BGP Table Size Predictions

Jan 2017	646,000
2018	699,000
2019	755,000
2020	807,000
2021	859,000
2022	911,000
2023	963,000
2024	1,015,000




V6 - Daily Growth Rates



V6 BGP Table Size Predictions

	Linear	Exponential
Jan 2017	35,000	36,000
2018	49,000	47,000
2019	62,000	62,000
2020	75,000	83,000
2021	89,000	109,000
2022	102,000	145,000
2023	116,000	192,000
2024	130,000	255,000




BGP Table Growth

The absolute size of the IPv6 routing table is growing much faster than the IPv4 table

They will require the same memory size in around 5 years time, given that each IPv6 entry is 4 times the memory size of an IPv4 entry

As long as we are prepared to live within the technical constraints of the current routing paradigm, the Internet's use of BGP will continue to be viable for some time yet





BGP Updates

- What about the level of updates in BGP?




IPv4 BGP Updates




IPv4 BGP Convergence Performance

Average Convergence Update Count per day (AS 131072)




Average Convergence Time per day (AS 131072)





Updates in IPv4 BGP

Still no great level of concern ...




- The number of updates per instability event and the time to converge has been relatively constant
- Likely contributors to this outcome are the damping effect of widespread use of the MRAI interval by eBGP speakers, and the compressed topology factor, as seen in the relatively constant AS Path Length



V6 BGP Updates




V6 Convergence Performance




Routing Futures

- There is little in the way of scaling pressure from BGP as a routing protocol – the relatively compressed topology and stability of the infrastructure links tend to ensure that BGP remains effective in routing the internet
- The issues of FIB size, line speeds and equipment cost of line cards represent a more significant issue for hardware suppliers – we can expect cheaper line cards to use far smaller LRU cache local FIBs in the high speed switches and push less used routes to a slower / cheaper lookup path. This approach may also become common in very high speed line cards



Some Practical Suggestions

- Understand your hardware's high speed FIB capacity in the default-free parts of your network
- Review your IPv4 / IPv6 portioning - a dual-stack eBGP router will need 900,000 IPv4 slots and 110,000 IPv6 slots for a full eBGP routing table in line cards over the coming 24 months if they are using a full FIB load
- Judicious use of default routes in your internal network may allow you drop this requirement significantly



That's it!

Questions?

